

	1 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK 6012199
2	2 COUNTY OF BRONX : CRIMINAL TERM : PART T-13
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Defendant(s).
8	
9	June 30th, 2004
10	BEFORE:
11	HONORABLE MARTIN MARCUS, Justice & jury.
12	(Same appearances as previously noted.)
13	
14	THE CLERK: Case on trial People verse
15	Anthony Manganiello. Appearances.
16	MR. RICHMAN: Murray Richman for Mr.
17	Anthony Manganiello.
18	MS. HILL: Renee Hill of counsel to
19	Murray Richman.
20	MS. SCACCIA: Christine Scaccia for the
21	office of the District Attorney.
2 2	MS. MCELWREATH: Suzanne McElwreath for
23	the office of the District Attorney.
2.4	THE COURT: Miss Scaccia, your witnesses
5	are?

of me in this and in other cases to know that I do not lean one way or the other. If you believe to the contrary, so be it. I can live with that. I have changed my mind twice in this case. Once because the People presented me with controlling law that clearly indicated that I should change my mind and once now based on a change of circumstance that altered the basis for my ruling.

Everyone seems to agree that Mr. Damon is not telling the truth. If Mr. Damon is not telling the truth, evidence that was not relevant becomes relevant. It's part of this circumstantial case. What the jury makes of it is for the jury to decide.

MR. RICHMAN: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Not for me. If you have been -- if you have been lead not to prepare for these witnesses adequately, I am telling you -- based on my ruling -- I understand that and I've told you you can have time to prepare. Why that would lead you to accuse me of a lack of impartiality I have no idea.

MR. RICHMAN: You know, I'm not accusing you per se --

THE COURT: You just said you were.

25.

		f/lr PROCEEDINGS	507
į	1	MR. RICHMAN: Hear me.	
	2		
	3	misunderstand.	
	4	MR. RICHMAN: I don't want you to	
	5	misunderstand.	
	6	THE COURT: You just said you didn't w	
	7	me to infer it, you weren't you weren't imply	ant.
	8	it, you were saying it.	ing
	9	MR. RICHMAN: I don't think you intend	
	10	but it ended up that way.	. 0
	11	THE COURT: Well, I thank you for your	
	12	psychological review.	
)	13	MR. RICHMAN: I have a degree in it,	
	14	Judge. Forgive me.	
	15	THE COURT: Be that as it may, be that	2
	16	it may, that's my ruling.	
	17	MR. RICHMAN: Okay.	
	18	THE COURT: I invite you again if you	
	19	want an opportunity to have a time to prepare for	
	20	their testimony to take	
	21	MR. RICHMAN: Your Honor, will you permi	
	22	me to go into the fact of how the prosecution and	T I
	23	their minions attempted to secure the information	
	24	that was untrue and should have known it was	
	25	untrue in order to effectuate an illegal end, to	
		to a second seco	

wit, the securing of a conviction as against my client by putting a gun in his hand when they know that not to be the truth or should have known it not to be the truth.

THE COURT: I don't understand what you're saying.

MR. RICHMAN: Well, in view of Mr. Damon's no longer a prosecution witness based upon the falsity of the statement, I would like the opportunity to potentially bring it to the Court's or at least the jury's attention of just that, that he was such a person and he -- the prosecution through auspices in this particular case had proffered him initially as a witness only later to find out that he withdraw it knowing it to be untrue.

MS. SCACCIA: If counsel can remember back to this morning when we had this discussion at the bench, I said I don't believe his recantation and I don't know whether he was, in fact, lying then or is lying now, but I know I have an obligation and I'm not going to put a liar on the stand because either way you cut it he's lying.

So I don't know where this whole surprise and he didn't know that Booth and

Tartarone could potentially be witnesses because in the Court's ruling you said you are going to contradict Mr. Damon's testimony, he said absolutely. Well, if you contradict or controvert Mr. Damon's testimony about the weapon, I'm going to allow her to call these witnesses.

So the whole I didn't prepare for them, especially the one guy who he formerly represented. I really find hard to believe. But if he wants to keep saying about how I should of known, you know what, a man who is in custody has no idea what sort of ballistic evidence was recovered at this murder scene, but yet they give information to the cops and to the People that they sold this defendant, the White Italian security guard from Parkchester, a .22 caliber semiautomatic and we just not only happen to have two .22 calibers in the man's brain but we have four .22 caliber shell cases.

Now, I don't know what Mr. Damon's issues are and I don't know if he was lying then or he's lying now, but he -- I know he's a liar and that's why he's not on the stand. For counsel to just be able to sit here and say, oh, my minions. You see all my minions, don't you. The 43rd Precinct's on vacation and I'm here with no folder

510

f/lr PROCEEDINGS

and on trial but my minions made all this happen. That's really just not a fair statement. And counsel does it over and over all day long about all of the stuff I'm trying to pull on his client. Meanwhile, you give rulings and he just disregards them in front of the jury. Not when the jury's not here, only when the jury's here because it's part of his show and it's really not fair.

MR. RICHMAN: How come you don't cut her off? Me you cut off like that. Cut her off will you, please.

MS. SCACCIA: Why don't we put on the record you're grinning the whole time you make these stupid references.

MR. RICHMAN: Stop it. Get a life.

THE COURT: Mr. Richman, I wish sometimes you would pay more attention to the seriousness of the formality of the courtroom than you do.

I don't quite understand where your offer of proof is, what witnesses you would call to this affect, but what Mr. Damon would of testified to and how it would have been impeached has no bearing on the credibility of any of the witnesses who have testified.

MR. RICHMAN: Sir, it is my true belief

1

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25